UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

NOV 1 7 2006

Ex parte ALFONS EIZENHOEFER, PETER KUCZYNSKI, and SAID TATESH BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 09/356,260

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on October 30, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

On May 18, 2006, appellants filed an Appeal Brief under 37 CFR § 41.37. A review of the file reveals that the "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter," as required by § 41.37(c)(1)(v), is not properly set forth. 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v) specifies:

(v) Summary of claimed subject matter. A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

As indicated, the disclosure must be mapped to the independent claims, here claims 20, 29, 32, 33 and 34, including specification page and line numbers, and drawing reference characters. The summary contains no explanation of the subject matter of claim 34.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief of March 18, 2006 defective;
- 2) to notify applicants to file a substitute Appeal Brief in compliance with the 37 CFR § 41.37;
- 3) for the examiner to consider the substitute Appeal Brief, and if necessary, vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed July 7, 2006, and issue a revised Examiner Answer in accordance with MPEP § 1207.02; and

4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By: Cl. Edgett for Dale Shaw

DALE M. SHAW

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator

(571) 272-9797

DMS/dal

cc: AGERE LERNER, DAVID ET AL. 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTIFIELD, NJ 07090